

Very stable regular G -Higgs bundles

Miguel González (ICMAT)

[arXiv:2503.01289](https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.01289)

Hitchin–Ngô Laboratory — Special activity
ICMAT

June 13th 2025



G connected semisimple complex Lie group, Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

X compact Riemann surface, $g \geq 2$, canonical K_X

A **G -Higgs bundle** (E, φ) over X :

- E a principal G -bundle over X
- φ a holomorphic section of $E(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes K_X$ (**Higgs field**)

Moduli space of G -Higgs bundles

- **Moduli space** of polystable G -Higgs bundles $\mathcal{M}(G)$.
- $T^*\mathcal{N}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(G)$ open \rightsquigarrow symplectic structure ω (on smooth locus)
- **Hitchin map** $h_G : \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G) := \bigoplus_i H^0(C, K_X^{d_i})$, proper.
- Natural \mathbb{C}^\times -**action**:

$$(E, \varphi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\varphi)$$

- Limits when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ exist and are fixed.

Definition

The **upward flow** of the fixed point $(E, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}(G)^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$:

$$W_{(E, \varphi)}^+ := \left\{ (E', \varphi') : \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} (E', \lambda\varphi') = (E, \varphi) \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(G)$$

Complex Lagrangian subvarieties (BAA-brane, mirror in $\mathcal{M}(G^\vee)$)

Very stable G -Higgs bundles

Definition (Hausel–Hitchin, 2022)

Smooth fixed point $(E, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}(G)^{s\mathbb{C}^\times}$ is **very stable** if $W_{(E, \varphi)}^+ \cap h_G^{-1}(0) = \{(E, \varphi)\}$. Equivalently, if $W_{(E, \varphi)}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{M}(G)$ is closed. Otherwise, it is **wobbly**.

- Drinfeld and Laumon: E stable G -bundle $\rightsquigarrow (E, 0)$ very stable \iff no nonzero nilpotent $\varphi \in H^0(E(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes K_X)$.
- Motivation: Identifies simplest \mathbb{C}^\times -invariant closed complex Lagrangians. h_G is proper on $W_{(E, \varphi)}^+$, easier study of mirror.

Question

Can we describe which fixed points are very stable?

Example $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

Take $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (or $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$). E is a rank n vector bundle, $\varphi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K_X$ traceless.

Fixed points are related to **chains**: $E = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m E_j$,
 $\varphi(E_j) \subseteq E_{j+1} \otimes K_X$.

$$E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} E_2 K_X \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m-1}} E_m K_X^{m-1}$$

The **type** of a fixed point is $(\mathrm{rk} E_1, \dots, \mathrm{rk} E_m)$.

Example $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ II

Type (n) : $(E, 0)$ with E stable vector bundle. Nonempty open dense subset of very stable (Laumon, 1988).

Type $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$: (Hausel–Hitchin, 2022) Simplest example:

$$E = \mathcal{O} \oplus K_X^{-1} \oplus \dots \oplus K_X^{-n+1}$$

$$\varphi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\varphi_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_{n-1} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -a_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $a = (a_i)_{i=2}^n \in \mathcal{A}(G)$. (E, φ_0) is fixed, upward flow is $\{(E, \varphi_a)\}_a$

Hitchin section \rightsquigarrow very stable.

Example $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ III

In general, fixed points of type $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ are

$$E = \mathcal{O} \oplus K_X^{-1}(D_1) \oplus K_X^{-2}(D_1 + D_2) \oplus \dots \oplus K_X^{-n+1}(D_1 + \dots + D_{n-1})$$

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \varphi_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\mathrm{div}(\varphi_j) = D_j$.

Theorem (Hausel–Hitchin, 2022)

Stable fixed point (E, φ) of type $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is very stable if and only if $D_1 + \dots + D_{n-1}$ is reduced.

Example $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ IV and $G = \mathrm{SO}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$

- Other types: (Peón–Nieto, 2024), e.g. type $(n_1, n_2) \notin \{(1, 1), (2, 1), (1, 2)\}$ are wobbly.
- Other groups? $G = \mathrm{SO}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$. One *type* is

$$E = (L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n) \oplus (L_n^* \oplus \cdots \oplus L_1^*),$$

$$\varphi = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 0 & & & & & & & \\ \varphi_1 & \ddots & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & 0 & & & & & \\ & & \varphi_{n-1} & 0 & & & & \\ & & \varphi_n & 0 & 0 & & & \\ & & & -\varphi_n^t & -\varphi_{n-1}^t & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & 0 & \\ & & & & & & -\varphi_1^t & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Fixed points in $\mathcal{M}(G)$

Fixed points given by \mathbb{Z} -gradings:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j$$

with $[\mathfrak{g}_j, \mathfrak{g}_k] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{j+k}$.

$[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightsquigarrow G_0 \subseteq G$ connected subgroup.

$[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_j] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_j \rightsquigarrow$ **representation** $G_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g}_j)$.

Prop. (Simpson 1988, Biquard–Collier–García-Prada–Toledo, 2023)

Fixed points $(E, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}(G)^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ characterised by:

- E reduces to E_{G_0} a G_0 -bundle.
- $\varphi \in H^0(E_{G_0}(\mathfrak{g}_j) \otimes K_X)$ for $j \neq 0$

Called (G_0, \mathfrak{g}_j) -**Higgs pairs**.

Example of \mathbb{Z} -grading

$G = \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Gradings of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by *dividing matrices in blocks* with squares in the diagonal.

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} \mathfrak{g}_0 & \mathfrak{g}_{-1} & \cdots & \mathfrak{g}_{1-m} \\ \hline \mathfrak{g}_1 & \mathfrak{g}_0 & \cdots & \mathfrak{g}_{2-m} \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hline \mathfrak{g}_{m-1} & \mathfrak{g}_{m-2} & \cdots & \mathfrak{g}_0 \end{array} \right).$$

I.e. by block size choices (n_1, \dots, n_m) .

$$G_0 = \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_m}(\mathbb{C})) = \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Aut}(V_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Aut}(V_m))$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathrm{Hom}(V_i, V_{i+1}).$$

A (G_0, \mathfrak{g}_1) -**Higgs pair** precisely defines a **chain** of type (n_1, \dots, n_m) .

Fixed points of Borel type

Question (recall)

Can we describe which fixed points are very stable?

Focus on **regular nilpotent** Higgs field. These are of **Borel type**.

Definition

Let $T \subseteq G$ be a maximal torus and $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} \subseteq \Delta := \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$ be a system of simple roots. The **Borel grading** is defined by $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_1$.

Definition

A fixed point (E, φ) is of **Borel type** if it reduces to (G_0, \mathfrak{g}_1) for the Borel grading.

Borel type for $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

Take $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{g}_0 & \mathfrak{g}_{-1} & \cdots & \mathfrak{g}_{1-m} \\ \mathfrak{g}_1 & \mathfrak{g}_0 & \cdots & \mathfrak{g}_{2-m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathfrak{g}_{m-1} & \mathfrak{g}_{m-2} & \cdots & \mathfrak{g}_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Borel grading (G_0 a torus) has block sizes $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Recall:

$$E = \mathcal{O} \oplus K_X^{-1}(D_1) \oplus K_X^{-2}(D_1 + D_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus K_X^{-n+1}(D_1 + \cdots + D_{n-1})$$

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \varphi_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\mathrm{div}(\varphi_j) = D_j$. Very stable $\iff D_1 + \cdots + D_{n-1}$ reduced (Hausel–Hitchin, 2022).

Borel type for $G = SO_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$

$$E = (L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n) \oplus (L_n^* \oplus \cdots \oplus L_1^*),$$

$$\varphi = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 0 & & & & & & & \\ \varphi_1 & \ddots & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & 0 & & & & & \\ & & \varphi_{n-1} & 0 & & & & \\ \hline & & \varphi_n & 0 & 0 & & & \\ & & & -\varphi_n^t & -\varphi_{n-1}^t & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & 0 & \\ & & & & & & -\varphi_1^t & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

We get divisors $D_j := \text{div}(\varphi_j)$.

Proposition

Very stable $\iff D_1 + 2D_2 + \cdots + 2D_{n-2} + D_{n-1} + D_n$ is reduced.

Borel type for $G = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$

$$E = (L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n) \oplus \mathcal{O}_C \oplus (L_n^* \oplus \cdots \oplus L_1^*),$$

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & & & & \\ \varphi_1 & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & 0 & & & & & & \\ & & \varphi_{n-1} & 0 & & & & & \\ & & & \varphi_n & 0 & & & & \\ & & & & -\varphi_n^t & 0 & & & \\ & & & & & -\varphi_{n-1}^t & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & 0 & \\ & & & & & & & -\varphi_1^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get divisors $D_j := \text{div}(\varphi_j)$.

Proposition

Fixed point is very stable $\iff D_1 + 2D_2 + \cdots + 2D_n$ is reduced.

Borel type for $G = Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$

$$E = (L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n) \oplus (L_n^* \oplus \cdots \oplus L_1^*)$$

$$\varphi = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 0 & & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & & 0 & & & & \\ & & & \varphi_{n-1} & 0 & & & \\ & & & & \varphi_n & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & & -\varphi_{n-1}^t & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & & & & & -\varphi_1^t & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

We get divisors $D_j := \text{div}(\varphi_j)$.

Proposition

Fixed point is very stable $\iff 2D_1 + \cdots + 2D_{n-1} + D_n$ is reduced.

Multiplicity divisor

Why? General phenomenon.

- $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \bigoplus_{\alpha_i \in \Pi} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$
- $G_0 = T \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$
- Map $E(\mathfrak{g}_1) \rightarrow E(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i})$ gives $\varphi_i \in H^0(E(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}) \otimes K_X)$ sections of line bundles.
- Divisors $D_i := \text{div}(\varphi_i)$.

Fundamental coweights: $\{\omega_1^\vee, \dots, \omega_r^\vee\} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$, dual basis to $\Pi \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^*$.

Definition

For a fixed point $(E, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}(G)^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ of Borel type, we define its **multiplicity divisor**:

$$\mu(E, \varphi) := \sum_{i=1}^r D_i \omega_i^\vee.$$

Divisor on X whose coefficients are (dominant) coweights.

Minuscule coweights

- There is a **partial ordering** on the space of dominant coweights of \mathfrak{g} .

$$\lambda \geq \mu \iff \lambda - \mu \text{ is a sum of positive coroots .}$$

- Minimal dominant coweights are called **minuscule**.
Representations of \mathfrak{g}^\vee with a single Weyl orbit of weights.

For example:

- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ has the trivial (0) and every k -th exterior power of the standard (ω_k^\vee) .
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ has the trivial (0), the standard (ω_1^\vee) , and two more $(\omega_{n-1}^\vee, \omega_n^\vee)$.
- E_8, F_4, G_2 only have the trivial (0).

Classification theorem

Recall:

- $(E, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}^{s\mathbb{C}^\times}(G)$ smooth fixed point of Borel type.
- $\varphi \rightsquigarrow \varphi_i \rightsquigarrow D_i \rightsquigarrow \mu(E, \varphi)$ a dominant coweight at each point.
- Notion of minimality for dominant coweights.

Theorem

Let $(E, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}^{s\mathbb{C}^\times}(G)$ be a smooth fixed point of Borel type. It is very stable if and only if $\mu(E, \varphi)|_x$ is minuscule at every $x \in X$.

- Concrete descriptions in classical groups from before.
- We can say which components (of Borel type) have very stable points. Indeed, the **topological type** of the fixed point in $\pi_1(G_0) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^r$ is determined by $\deg \mu(E, \varphi) := \sum_{x \in X} \mu(E, \varphi)|_x$.
- (Hausel–Hitchin, 2022) Every component has very stable points for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Indeed all ω_i^\vee are minuscule in this case.
- Not at all for other \mathfrak{g} . Extreme case: E_8, F_4, G_2 require regularity everywhere.

Strategy: Hecke transformations

Fixed points of Borel type can be related by **Hecke transformations**. Fix $x \in X$ and let $X_0 := X \setminus \{x\}$.

Definition

A **Hecke transformation** of a G -Higgs bundle (E, φ) at x is (E', φ', ψ) where (E', φ') is another G -Higgs bundle together with an isomorphism

$$\psi : (E', \varphi')|_{X_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} (E, \varphi)|_{X_0}.$$

- Trivialise E over X_0 as well as over a formal disk $X_1 \simeq \mathbb{D}$ around $x \in X$.
- Transition function over $X_{01} := X_0 \cap X_1 \simeq \mathbb{D}^*$.

$$f_E : X_{01} \rightarrow G.$$

i.e. a **(meromorphic) loop** in G , so $f_E \in LG$.

The affine Grassmannian

- Hecke transformations: change the transition function $f_E \in LG$ by $f_E \sigma$ for a **loop** $\sigma \in LG$.
- If $\sigma \in L^+G$, i.e. comes from a **disk** in G , result is isomorphic. Therefore

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Hecke transformations} \\ \text{of } (E,0) \text{ at } x \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{points in } \mathbf{affine\ Grassmannian} \\ Gr_G := LG/L^+G \end{array} \right\}$$

(non canonically). See (Wong, 2013).

- What about φ ?
- Locally, $\varphi_1 : C_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, i.e. in $L^+ \mathfrak{g}$.
- Given $\sigma \in LG$, it transforms to $\mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}} \varphi_1 \in L\mathfrak{g}$, a priori only over X_{01} .
- We then ask

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}} \varphi_1 \in L^+ \mathfrak{g},$$

which defines the **affine Springer fibre** over φ_1 .

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Hecke transformations} \\ \text{of } (E, \varphi) \text{ at } x \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{points in an affine Springer fibre} \\ \text{given by } \varphi \text{ in } \mathrm{Gr}_G. \end{array} \right\}.$$

- \mathbb{C}^\times -action on the affine Springer fibre such that if σ gives (E', φ') then $\lambda \cdot \sigma$ gives $(E', \lambda\varphi')$.
- Its fixed points (cocharacters of $T = G_0$) produce \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed Higgs bundles.
- Can produce curves between \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed Higgs bundles from curves in the affine Springer fibre.

- Consider $(E, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{sc}^\times}(G)$ smooth fixed point of Borel type with $\mu(E, \varphi)|_x = \mu$ not minuscule.
- There is a positive coroot $\alpha^\vee \in \Delta_+^\vee$ with $\mu - \alpha^\vee$ dominant.
- In the affine Grassmannian, there is an (explicit) curve connecting the identity and α^\vee .
- Hecke transformation produces curve connecting (E, φ) with (E', φ') such that $\mu(E', \varphi')|_x = \mu - \alpha^\vee$.
- **(Key step)** Can always choose some α^\vee so that the curve is in the affine Springer fibre and stability is preserved.

Very stable fixed points

- Now $\mu(E, \varphi)|_x$ is minuscule for all $x \in X$.
- Wobbly means there is a \mathbb{C}^\times -invariant curve connecting (E, φ) with another fixed point.
- **(Key step)** This curve **comes from Hecke transformation** of a similar curve flowing to $(E', \varphi') \in \mathcal{M}(G)^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$, a Hecke transformation of (E, φ) such that $\mu(E', \varphi')$ has smaller support.
- Arrive at the case $\mu(E, \varphi) = 0$ (everywhere regular φ) which is very stable.

Thank you!